

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 03, 2026
MAYES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

PRECINCT 490023-REGNP

TO VOTE:
FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO
YOUR CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:



STRAIGHT PARTY

A straight party vote is a vote for all
candidates of that party in partisan races.

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

(Vote for One)

- ☐ REPUBLICAN
- ☐ DEMOCRATIC
- ☐ LIBERTARIAN



STATE OFFICERS

FOR GOVERNOR

(Vote for One)

- ☐ MIKE MAZZEI
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ CYNDI MUNSON
DEMOCRAT
- ☐ ORLANDO LYNN BUSH
INDEPENDENT
- ☐ ROBERT E BROOKS SR
INDEPENDENT
- ☐ JERRY GRIFFIN
INDEPENDENT

FOR LIEUTENANT
GOVERNOR

(Vote for One)

- ☐ T. W. SHANNON
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ KELLY FORBES
DEMOCRAT

FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL

(Vote for One)

- ☐ JON ECHOLS
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ NICK COFFEY
DEMOCRAT

FOR STATE TREASURER

(Vote for One)

- ☐ CINDY BYRD
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ KIEFER PERRY
LIBERTARIAN

FOR SUPERINTENDENT OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

(Vote for One)

- ☐ ROBERT FRANKLIN
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ JENNETTIE MARSHALL
DEMOCRAT

FOR COMMISSIONER OF
LABOR

(Vote for One)

- ☐ KEVIN WEST
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ KEVIN DAWSON
DEMOCRAT
- ☐ MIKE HALL
LIBERTARIAN

FOR INSURANCE
COMMISSIONER

(Vote for One)

- ☐ BOB SULLIVAN
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ CRAIG MacINTYRE
DEMOCRAT

FOR CORPORATION
COMMISSIONER

(Vote for One)

- ☐ BRAD BOLES
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ RHONDA EASTMAN
DEMOCRAT

CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS

FOR UNITED STATES
SENATOR

(Vote for One)

- ☐ KEVIN HERN
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ N'KIYLA JASMINE
THOMAS
DEMOCRAT
- ☐ SEVIER WHITE
LIBERTARIAN
- ☐ RON MEINHARDT
INDEPENDENT
- ☐ CURTIS STINNETT
INDEPENDENT

FOR UNITED STATES
REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 02

(Vote for One)

- ☐ JOSH BRECHEEN
REPUBLICAN
- ☐ BRANDON WADE
DEMOCRAT
- ☐ RONNIE HOPKINS
INDEPENDENT

JUDICIAL RETENTION

Vote separately on each justice or judge;
they are not running against each other.

JUSTICES OF THE
OKLAHOMA SUPREME
COURT

SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 1

Shall M. JOHN KANE IV of the
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be
retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 4

Shall TRAVIS V. JETT of the
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be
retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 6

Shall DANA KUEHN of the
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be
retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 9

Shall RICHARD B. DARBY of the
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be
retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

JUDGES OF THE
OKLAHOMA COURT OF
CRIMINAL APPEALS

COURT OF CRIMINAL
APPEALS DISTRICT 2

Shall ROBERT L. HUDSON of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL
APPEALS be retained in office?

- ☐ YES
- ☐ NO

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 03, 2026
MAYES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

PRECINCT 490023-REGNP

JUDGES OF THE
OKLAHOMA COURT OF
CIVIL APPEALS

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 1

Shall STACIE L. HIXON of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL
APPEALS be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 2

Shall JANE P. WISEMAN of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL
APPEALS be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 1

Shall DEBORAH B. BARNES of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL
APPEALS be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 2

Shall JAMES R. HUBER of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL
APPEALS be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

STATE QUESTIONS

STATE QUESTION NO. 845
LEGISLATIVE
REFERENDUM NO. 378

This measure amends article 7-B,
section 3 of the Oklahoma
Constitution, changing the Judicial
Nominating Commission's structure.
Currently appointments are based on
congressional districts as constituted
in 1967. If approved, appointments to
the fifteen-member Commission will
be as follows: 1) Governor appoints
six members, including one from
each congressional district as of the
time of their appointment; 2) the
Oklahoma Bar Association appoints
six members, including one from
each congressional district as of the
date of selection; and 3) no less than
eight members select three at-large
members. The measure will permit
any number of the commissioners to
be licensed attorneys in Oklahoma
or another state. The prohibition
against immediate family members
from practicing law will be removed.
The measure changes terms so that
at-large commissioners would serve
a two-year term, and all other
commissioners would serve a
six-year term. Additionally, it
removes restrictions on political party
membership for members appointed
by the Governor and at-large
members. The measure also permits
a commissioner to succeed himself
or herself. Vacancies will be filled in
the same manner as a
commissioner is appointed or
selected for the remainder of the
relevant term. This measure will not
have a fiscal impact.

Shall the proposal be approved?

☐ FOR THE PROPOSAL -
YES

☐ AGAINST THE
PROPOSAL - NO

STATE QUESTION NO. 847
LEGISLATIVE
REFERENDUM NO. 381

This measure amends article 10,
sections 8B and 8C of the Oklahoma
Constitution. Currently, section 8B
limits annual growth in fair cash
value to 5% for most real property or
3% for homesteads and agricultural
land. If approved, the measure would
limit annual growth in fair cash value
for tax year 2027 and after to 4% for
most real property or 1.75% for
homesteads and agricultural land.
Currently, section 8C prohibits any
increase in fair cash value of
homestead property for persons age
sixty-five (65) or older whose income
does not exceed certain limits,
sometimes referred to as the senior
freeze. Instead of prohibiting any
increase in fair cash value for these
homesteads, there would be an
annual limit of 1.75%. The measure
eliminates the income cap on gross
household income to qualify for the
senior freeze. If approved, the
annual limit on an increase in fair
cash value would be tied to how
much gross household income the
homestead owner had in the
preceding year. If a homeowner's
gross household income from the
previous year was at or below the
median income for their county or
area (as determined by the U.S.
Department of Housing and Urban
Development's estimated median
income amount for the county or
metropolitan statistical area), a
homeowner's homestead fair cash
value cannot be increased. If the
income amount was in excess of
certain thresholds, the limit on
increases in fair cash value for
homesteads owned by persons age
65 or older could be 0.35% (income
over 100% up to 120%); 0.7%
(income over 120% up to 140%);
1.05% (income over 140% up to
160%); 1.4% (income over 160% up
to 180%); or 1.75% (income over
180%). If passed, this measure
would have a fiscal impact on the
state, but the exact impact is
unknown.

Shall the proposal be approved?

☐ FOR THE PROPOSAL -
YES

☐ AGAINST THE
PROPOSAL - NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE